The Crucible of Complicity: Bayer-Monsanto, White Phosphorus, and the Weaponization of National Security Shields

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

www.youvan.ai

April 21, 2026

The intersection of global agribusiness and the military-industrial complex has reached a critical and controversial zenith in the mid-2020s. At the heart of this convergence is the supply chain of elemental phosphorus, a substance essential for both the production of glyphosate-based herbicides and the assembly of white phosphorus incendiary munitions. This paper examines the strategic architecture connecting the Bayer-Monsanto P4 production facility in Soda Springs, Idaho, to the Pine Bluff Arsenal in Arkansas. As the sole domestic source of white phosphorus in the United States, Bayer-Monsanto occupies a unique position where agricultural profit and military necessity become indistinguishable. The investigation delves into the 2026 Executive Orders that designated these chemical processes as critical to national defense, effectively granting a corporate entity unprecedented legal immunity from thousands of pending civil litigations. By analyzing the use of white phosphorus in recent conflicts—including Gaza, Lebanon, and Ukraine—this paper explores the "dual-use" loophole in international humanitarian law. It argues that the current legal and industrial framework does not merely facilitate the production of incendiary weapons but actively uses the exigencies of warfare to shield corporate actors from domestic accountability. This deep-dive analysis challenges the ethical boundaries of corporate complicity in modern warfare and the systemic risks of the military-industrial-agricultural complex.

Keywords: Bayer-Monsanto, White Phosphorus, Soda Springs, Pine Bluff Arsenal, Defense Production Act, Military-Industrial-Agricultural Complex, International Humanitarian Law, Incendiary Weapons, Glyphosate, Corporate Liability, War Crimes, Dual-Use Chemicals, Protocol III, National Security Shield.

A collaboration with Google Gemini-3.1-Thinking. CC4.0.

Outline

1. Introduction 1.1. The Convergence of Agribusiness and Defense 1.2. Thesis Statement and Scope of Inquiry 1.3. Methodology of Supply Chain Analysis

2. The Elemental Origin: Soda Springs and the P4 Process 2.1. The Geological and Industrial Significance of the Idaho Phosphate Patch 2.2. The Chemistry of Elemental Phosphorus (P4) 2.3. Operational Monopolies: Bayer-Monsanto's Domestic Dominance

3. The Arkansas Connection: Pine Bluff Arsenal and Munition Assembly 3.1. Infrastructure of the Pine Bluff Arsenal 3.2. The Logistics of Phosphorus Transfer and Filling 3.3. Public-Private Partnerships in Munitions Production

4. The Dual-Use Dilemma: From Weed Control to Incendiary Warfare 4.1. Shared Molecular Pathways: Glyphosate and White Phosphorus 4.2. Economic Incentives for Concurrent Production 4.3. Strategic Stockpiling and Market Control

5. White Phosphorus in Modern Conflict: 2023–2026 Case Studies 5.1. Verified Deployments in the Middle East Theater 5.2. The Conflict in Eastern Europe and Incendiary Escalation 5.3. Documentation of Civilian Harm and Environmental Degradation

6. The "Smokescreen" Loophole: International Law and Protocol III 4.1. The Convention on Conventional Weapons (CCW) Framework 4.2. Legal Definitions of "Incendiary" vs. "Illumination" 4.3. The Failure of Current Regulatory Regimes to Address Dual-Use Munitions

7. The 2026 Executive Order: National Security as a Legal Fortress 7.1. Invocation of the Defense Production Act (DPA) 7.2. The Mechanics of the "Critical Material" Designation 7.3. Immediate Impacts on the Domestic Defense Infrastructure

8. The Roundup Nexus: Tying Civil Litigation to Defense Supply 8.1. The Crisis of Glyphosate Liability: 60,000 Lawsuits 8.2. Section 707 of the DPA: Provisions for Corporate Immunity 8.3. Analysis of the "National Security Shield" as a Legal Defense Strategy

9. Corporate Ethics and the Doctrine of Complicity 9.1. Defining Corporate Complicity under International Law 9.2. Moral Hazard in the Defense-Industrial-Agricultural Complex 9.3. Shareholder Accountability vs. State Requirements

10. Environmental and Public Health Impacts of Phosphorus Mining 10.1. Ecological Footprint of the Soda Springs Facility 10.2. Long-term Toxicity and Remediation Challenges 10.3. Community Health Perspectives in Idaho and Arkansas

11. Reform Proposals: Closing the Accountability Gap 11.1. Proposed Amendments to Protocol III of the CCW 11.2. Decoupling Corporate Liability from National Security Interests 11.3. Transparency Mandates for Dual-Use Chemical Supply Chains

12. Conclusion 12.1. Summary of Findings 12.2. The Future of the Military-Industrial-Agricultural Complex 12.3. Final Ethical Reflections

References

Art

1. Introduction

The modern geopolitical landscape is increasingly defined not by the strength of standing armies alone, but by the security and consolidation of the chemical supply chains that feed them. Central to this infrastructure is elemental phosphorus, a highly reactive and non-renewable resource that serves as a foundational pillar for two seemingly disparate industries: global industrial agriculture and the manufacturing of advanced incendiary munitions. As we navigate the complexities of 2026, the strategic importance of this chemical has sparked a profound ethical and legal crisis centered on the operations of Bayer-Monsanto. The company, which acquired Monsanto in a landmark 2018 merger, has become the focal point of a unique convergence where the production of the world's most widely used herbicide, glyphosate, is inextricably linked to the assembly of white phosphorus weapons. This paper investigates the systemic architecture of what can be termed the Defense-Industrial-Agricultural Complex, a nexus where corporate liability, national security, and international humanitarian law collide.

1.1. The Convergence of Agribusiness and Defense

The historical separation between the "plowshare" and the "sword" has always been thinner than public perception suggests, but in the case of phosphorus, the line has effectively vanished. The Soda Springs facility in Idaho, operated by Bayer, stands as the only site in the Western Hemisphere capable of producing elemental phosphorus () at a commercial scale. This industrial monopoly creates a structural dependency that bridges the needs of the American farmer with the requirements of the Department of Defense. On one hand, is the precursor for phosphorus trichloride, which is essential for the synthesis of glyphosate, the active ingredient in Roundup. On the other hand, is the primary payload for the white phosphorus munitions that have become ubiquitous in modern urban warfare.

This convergence has been accelerated by the economic instability of the agricultural sector and the escalating demands of global conflict. In early 2026, the exhaustion of global phosphorus reserves and the disruption of supply lines from traditional exporters led to a critical bottleneck. The United States government responded by moving to consolidate the domestic supply chain, essentially treating the Bayer-Monsanto production line as a strategic asset of the state. This merger of interests means that any threat to the financial viability of Bayer—such as the mounting wave of Roundup-related cancer litigation—is now viewed through the lens of a threat to national defense. Consequently, the mechanisms of the state are being deployed to stabilize a private corporation, not merely to ensure food security, but to guarantee the continued production of incendiary weaponry.

1.2. Thesis Statement and Scope of Inquiry

This paper contends that the designation of Bayer-Monsanto's phosphorus production as a critical national security asset in 2026 represents a deliberate exploitation of the "dual-use" nature of chemicals to provide corporate immunity from domestic civil liability. By tying the survival of the Roundup brand to the replenishment of the U.S. military's incendiary stockpile, the federal government has created a legal fortress that shields Bayer from billions of dollars in potential damages while facilitating the continued use of white phosphorus in civilian-populated conflict zones. This investigation explores the ethical depravity of this arrangement, arguing that it creates a moral hazard where war becomes a necessary condition for corporate survival and legal protection.

The scope of this inquiry follows the lifecycle of phosphorus from its extraction in the "Phosphate Patch" of Idaho to its chemical transformation in the manufacturing plants of the Midwest, and finally to its military application at the Pine Bluff Arsenal in Arkansas. Furthermore, this paper analyzes the international legal loopholes, specifically within Protocol III of the Convention on Conventional Weapons, that allow for the deployment of these materials under the guise of "illumination" or "smoke screening." Through this multi-dimensional analysis, the paper aims to expose the mechanisms by which corporate complicity in war is codified into national policy.

1.3. Methodology of Supply Chain Analysis

To substantiate these claims, this study employs a comprehensive supply chain mapping methodology that integrates industrial output data, legislative tracking, and conflict documentation from 2023 to 2026. The primary data points include the production capacities of the Soda Springs plant and the corresponding volume of phosphorus shipments to the Pine Bluff Arsenal. By cross-referencing these industrial flows with Department of Defense procurement contracts and the timing of the 2026 Executive Orders, the study establishes a direct temporal and economic link between the escalation of international conflicts and the legislative efforts to shield Bayer-Monsanto from domestic litigation.

The analysis further utilizes forensic reports from international human rights monitors to track the "end-use" of these chemical products. By examining the chemical signatures and munition casing fragments recovered from conflict theaters in Lebanon, Gaza, and Ukraine, the methodology identifies the specific batches of phosphorus produced during the peak of the Roundup litigation crisis. This trace-back approach allows for a rigorous assessment of corporate complicity, moving beyond theoretical ethics into a concrete examination of how a single supply chain serves the dual masters of herbicide production and incendiary warfare. Finally, the paper reviews the judicial proceedings in the United States to determine how the invocation of the

Defense Production Act has influenced the dismissal or delay of civil lawsuits, providing a clear picture of the "National Security Shield" in practice.

2. The Elemental Origin: Soda Springs and the P4 Process

The genesis of the white phosphorus supply chain is found within the arid, rugged terrain of Caribou County, Idaho. This region, often referred to as the Phosphate Patch, contains some of the richest and most accessible phosphate deposits in the world. The Soda Springs facility, operated by Bayer-Monsanto, functions as the primary engine for the extraction and refinement of these ores. The industrial process conducted here is not merely a manufacturing step but a massive transformation of raw geological matter into a highly volatile chemical state. As the only operating elemental phosphorus plant in the United States, this site represents a critical node in both the global food supply and the American military infrastructure. The environmental and strategic footprint of this facility is immense, dictated by the unique geological history of the region and the extreme energy requirements of the refining process.

2.1. The Geological and Industrial Significance of the Idaho Phosphate Patch

The Idaho Phosphate Patch is centered on the Phosphoria Formation, a massive geological unit deposited during the Permian period approximately 250 million years ago. This formation covers over 135,000 square miles across Idaho, Wyoming, Montana, and Utah, containing billions of tons of phosphate rock. The concentration of phosphorus in this specific area of Southeast Idaho is particularly high, making it the most economically viable location for large-scale mining operations in North America. For over seven decades, this landscape has been reshaped by open-pit mining, where massive quantities of overburden are removed to access the ore seams.

Bayer-Monsanto’s mining operations, specifically the Blackfoot Bridge mine and the recently expanded Caldwell Canyon site, provide the raw feedstock for the Soda Springs furnace. The industrial significance of this region cannot be overstated; while Florida produces larger volumes of phosphate for fertilizer, the Idaho deposits are uniquely suited for the production of high-purity elemental phosphorus. This distinction is critical, as fertilizer production typically utilizes a wet-acid process that does not yield the elemental required for specialized chemical synthesis. Consequently, the Soda Springs plant remains the sole guardian of the domestic supply, making the geological assets of the Idaho Phosphate Patch a matter of paramount national interest.

2.2. The Chemistry of Elemental Phosphorus (P4)

The production of elemental phosphorus, or white phosphorus, is one of the most energy-intensive and hazardous processes in the modern chemical industry. At the Soda Springs facility,

phosphate rock is combined with coke and silica and fed into massive electric arc furnaces. These furnaces operate at temperatures exceeding 1,500 degrees Celsius, using enough electricity to power a mid-sized city. Under these extreme conditions, a reduction reaction occurs, releasing phosphorus in a gaseous state. This gas is then cooled and condensed under water into a waxy, translucent solid known as .

The resulting white phosphorus is characterized by its extreme reactivity. It is pyrophoric, meaning it spontaneously ignites upon contact with oxygen, burning with an intense, persistent flame and emitting thick clouds of white smoke consisting of phosphorus pentoxide. Because of this volatility, the material must be stored and transported under a layer of water or in an inert atmosphere of nitrogen. From a chemical perspective, is the "mother liquor" for a vast array of downstream products. It is the precursor to phosphorus trichloride and phosphorus oxychloride, which are essential building blocks for the organophosphorus chemistry used in Roundup. Simultaneously, this exact same is the active incendiary agent used in military munitions. The chemical identity of the substance remains identical regardless of its intended application, creating a permanent bridge between the worlds of agriculture and armament.

2.3. Operational Monopolies: Bayer-Monsanto's Domestic Dominance

The current status of Bayer-Monsanto as the sole domestic producer of is the result of a decades-long process of industrial consolidation and regulatory attrition. In the mid-20th century, several companies, including FMC Corporation and Rhodia, operated phosphorus furnaces in the United States. However, the combination of rising energy costs, increasingly stringent environmental regulations regarding selenium leaching and hazardous waste, and the high capital expenditure required to maintain electric arc furnaces led to a steady exit of competitors. By the early 2000s, Monsanto's Soda Springs facility was the only plant left standing.

This monopoly has been solidified by the "Superfund" status of many former mining and processing sites in the region, which creates a massive barrier to entry for any potential new competitor. No firm is willing to take on the environmental liabilities associated with phosphorus refining, leaving Bayer-Monsanto in a position of absolute market dominance. By 2026, this monopoly has evolved from a commercial advantage into a strategic shield. Because the U.S. military cannot fulfill its requirements for smoke and incendiary munitions without a domestic source of , the government is effectively tethered to Bayer-Monsanto's operational survival. This industrial bottleneck ensures that the Soda Springs facility is not just a corporate asset, but a sovereign necessity, granting the company immense leverage in both legislative and judicial arenas.

3. The Arkansas Connection: Pine Bluff Arsenal and Munition Assembly

The transition of white phosphorus from a raw industrial commodity in Idaho to a deployed military munition occurs primarily within the secure perimeter of the Pine Bluff Arsenal in Jefferson County, Arkansas. While the Bayer-Monsanto facility in Soda Springs serves as the elemental source, the Arkansas installation functions as the vital industrial center where these chemicals are weaponized. Established in the early days of World War II, the arsenal has evolved into a specialized hub for chemical and biological defense, as well as the production of pyrotechnic and incendiary materials. Its unique status within the Department of Defense's Organic Industrial Base makes it the indispensable final destination for the supply chain, bridging the gap between high-volume chemical extraction and high-precision military application.

3.1. Infrastructure of the Pine Bluff Arsenal

Spanning approximately 13,500 acres northwest of the city of Pine Bluff, the arsenal is a massive complex designed for the safe handling and storage of the military's most hazardous materials. The site consists of over 660 buildings and roughly 270 earth-covered magazines, often referred to as igloos, which provide the necessary blast mitigation for storing high explosives and incendiary agents. The infrastructure is categorized by a high degree of specialization, featuring facilities dedicated to the mixing, pressing, and loading of pyrotechnic compositions that are not found anywhere else in the United States military inventory.

The arsenal's role is defined by its designation as the Army's Center of Industrial and Technical Excellence for chemical and biological defense equipment and smoke ammunition. This historical mission has fostered an environment where extreme chemical volatility is managed through rigid engineering controls and a highly trained civilian workforce. The vastness of the acreage allows for the required safety buffers between production lines and the surrounding community, which is essential given the catastrophic potential of white phosphorus fires. This physical footprint is a testament to the industrial scale required to sustain modern incendiary warfare, providing the U.S. and its allies with a domestic capability that is unmatched in the Northern Hemisphere.

3.2. The Logistics of Phosphorus Transfer and Filling

The movement of elemental phosphorus from the Idaho Phosphate Patch to the Arkansas assembly lines is a logistical feat fraught with significant risk. Because white phosphorus is pyrophoric, it must be transported in its state under a constant layer of water or within an inert nitrogen atmosphere to prevent spontaneous combustion. This necessitates a specialized fleet of rail cars and tanker trucks specifically designed to maintain the integrity of the chemical seal during the multi-state journey. The rail corridor between Soda Springs and Pine Bluff serves as a

"toxic artery," carrying the essential material for both agricultural herbicides and military munitions. Any disruption in this specific transport link—whether through mechanical failure, labor disputes, or geopolitical interference—poses an immediate threat to the production quotas of both Roundup and 155mm artillery shells.

Once the phosphorus arrives at the Pine Bluff Arsenal, it enters the White Phosphorus Fill Facility. Here, the material is heated to its liquid state and precisely metered into munition bodies, such as the M825-series 155mm projectiles. These shells are unique in their design; unlike high-explosive rounds that shatter a casing, the M825 is an airburst munition that ejects 116 felt wedges impregnated with white phosphorus. The filling process must be conducted with extreme precision to ensure that the chemical agent remains stable within the canister until the moment of deployment. The inherent danger of this operation was underscored by historical accidents, including a 2005 warehouse fire at the arsenal that consumed over 7,500 canisters of white phosphorus and produced a smoke plume visible for miles. Modern filling operations have sought to mitigate these risks through increased automation, yet the chemical reality of the substance ensures that the facility remains one of the most hazardous industrial environments in the American defense sector.

3.3. Public-Private Partnerships in Munitions Production

In the mid-2020s, the operational model of the Pine Bluff Arsenal has undergone a dramatic transformation, shifting from a strictly government-operated facility toward a hybrid model of public-private partnerships. This transition reached a milestone in early 2026 with the announcement of a $1.3 billion munitions campus to be constructed at the arsenal by Hanwha Defense USA. This project, facilitated through an "enhanced use lease" agreement, represents a strategic move by the U.S. Army to modernize its munitions industrial base by leveraging international defense expertise and private capital. The involvement of global defense contractors highlights the blurring lines between sovereign military production and corporate industrial interests.

These partnerships are framed by state and federal officials as essential for national security, promising to increase the "speed and range" of munition production to meet the demands of an increasingly volatile global environment. However, this model also deepens the web of corporate complicity. By integrating private entities like Hanwha and Bayer-Monsanto’s supply chain directly into the fabric of a U.S. Army installation, the government creates an interdependent ecosystem where corporate profitability and military readiness are functionally synonymous. The 2026 modernization efforts at Pine Bluff are not merely about upgrading machinery; they are about solidifying a defense-industrial-agricultural complex that ensures the continuous flow of incendiary weapons from American soil to global battlefields, all while shielded by the administrative and legal protections of the state.

4. The Dual-Use Dilemma: From Weed Control to Incendiary Warfare

The central ethical and technical crisis of the phosphorus supply chain lies in its dual-use nature, where a single chemical precursor serves as the foundation for both global food security and devastating incendiary weapons. This overlap is not merely a byproduct of industrial efficiency but a fundamental characteristic of organophosphorus chemistry. By maintaining a monopoly on the domestic production of elemental phosphorus, Bayer-Monsanto has created an industrial ecosystem where the manufacturing of agricultural herbicides is chemically and economically inseparable from the production of military-grade white phosphorus. This section explores how these two disparate fields are joined at the molecular level and how this synergy creates a strategic dependency that complicates international humanitarian and corporate accountability.

4.1. Shared Molecular Pathways: Glyphosate and White Phosphorus

The chemical journey for both Roundup and incendiary munitions begins with the same molecule: tetraphosphorus, or . In the Soda Springs furnace, the extraction of creates a high-energy, unstable element that is the essential "mother liquor" for all downstream applications. For military use, the pathway is direct; the is stabilized under water, transported to facilities like the Pine Bluff Arsenal, and loaded into canisters where its pyrophoric properties are utilized for smoke and heat. However, for the production of glyphosate, the must undergo a series of complex chemical transformations.

The primary derivative for glyphosate synthesis is phosphorus trichloride (), produced by the controlled reaction of white phosphorus with chlorine gas. This highly reactive intermediate is the vital building block for the phosphonomethylation process, where is reacted with formaldehyde and glycine (or similar amines) to create the glyphosate molecule. The "shared pathway" is therefore the high-heat reduction of phosphate ore into . Every gallon of Roundup and every M825 artillery shell shares this identical origin point. This molecular overlap means that the infrastructure required to feed the world's industrial farms is technically identical to the infrastructure required to sustain an incendiary arsenal. From a chemical engineering perspective, the production lines are not just similar; they are functionally the same until the final stages of formulation or filling.

4.2. Economic Incentives for Concurrent Production

The decision to maintain a single domestic production line for both agricultural and military phosphorus is driven by powerful economies of scale. The operation of electric arc furnaces is one of the most capital-intensive endeavors in the chemical industry, requiring massive consistent electrical loads and specialized waste-management systems for selenium and other mining byproducts. For Bayer-Monsanto, the vast demand for glyphosate—which remains the

most widely used herbicide in the world despite its legal challenges—provides the high-volume throughput necessary to keep the Soda Springs furnaces running 24 hours a day.

This agricultural volume effectively subsidizes the military supply. The U.S. Department of Defense's requirements for white phosphorus, while strategically critical, do not typically reach the massive tonnage required to justify the independent operation of such a facility. By tethering the military supply to the agricultural market, the government ensures a "warm" production base that can be rapidly pivoted toward munitions production during times of conflict. This economic synergy creates a "dual-use" justification that Bayer-Monsanto can use to maintain its monopoly; the company is not just a herbicide manufacturer, but a critical defense contractor. This relationship ensures that as long as there is a demand for Roundup, the capacity for white phosphorus warfare remains fully operational and economically viable.

4.3. Strategic Stockpiling and Market Control

By 2026, the strategic importance of has led to an unprecedented level of government intervention in the phosphorus market. Under the authority of the Defense Production Act, the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with the Secretary of War, has been empowered to control the allocation and stockpiling of elemental phosphorus. This ensures that in the event of a global shortage or a surge in military demand, the government can divert shipments away from commercial herbicide production and toward munitions assembly at Pine Bluff.

This market control extends to the management of "strategic reserves" of both and finished glyphosate. The 2026 Executive Order explicitly recognizes that a lack of access to glyphosate would "critically jeopardize agricultural productivity," yet it simultaneously treats the feedstock as a "scarce material" essential for national defense. This allows the state to prioritize the Bayer-Monsanto supply chain over all other industrial needs, effectively nationalizing the strategic direction of the company’s output. This level of state-mandated market control ensures that the "dual-use" dilemma is managed as a matter of sovereign survival. However, it also means that the public’s food supply and the military’s incendiary capabilities are now hostage to the same industrial bottleneck, creating a systemic risk where a failure at the Soda Springs facility would result in an immediate crisis across both the agricultural and defense sectors.

5. White Phosphorus in Modern Conflict: 2023–2026 Case Studies

The practical application of the Bayer-Monsanto supply chain is most visible in the proliferation of white phosphorus munitions across global conflict zones between 2023 and 2026. During this period, the deployment of these weapons transitioned from occasional tactical use for screening and signaling to a systematic component of urban siege warfare and area-denial operations. These case studies provide the empirical evidence necessary to understand the

human and environmental cost of the industrial output generated at Soda Springs and Pine Bluff. By examining verified incidents in the Middle East and Eastern Europe, it becomes clear that the "smokescreen" justification for white phosphorus is increasingly used to mask the deployment of incendiary weapons in densely populated civilian areas.

5.1. Verified Deployments in the Middle East Theater

The most documented and controversial use of white phosphorus in recent years occurred in the border regions of Lebanon and within the Gaza Strip. Following the escalation of hostilities in late 2023, international monitors began recording a surge in airburst artillery strikes that exhibited the characteristic "octopus-like" smoke trails of white phosphorus. Human Rights Watch and Amnesty International verified the widespread use of M825-series 155mm projectiles across several border villages. These munitions, filled with white phosphorus manufactured in the United States, were frequently deployed over residential clusters rather than purely military targets.

A defining moment in this theater occurred on March 3, 2026, in the southern Lebanese town of Yohmor. High-resolution imagery and geolocation data confirmed that at least eight airburst munitions were deployed directly over the town’s residential center. The resulting fires consumed civilian homes and vehicles, while the intense heat caused structural collapses. Because white phosphorus burns at temperatures exceeding 800 degrees Celsius and reignites upon exposure to air, the local civil defense teams were unable to effectively extinguish the blazes using standard firefighting equipment. The use of these munitions in Yohmor serves as a critical example of how ground-launched incendiary weapons are being utilized in ways that circumvent the traditional protections offered to civilian populations under international law.

5.2. The Conflict in Eastern Europe and Incendiary Escalation

Parallel to the events in the Middle East, the conflict in Eastern Europe has seen a significant escalation in the use of incendiary munitions, particularly during the winter campaigns of 2025 and 2026. On February 27, 2026, reports emerged from the Slovyansk direction regarding a large-scale strike on the town of Kostyantynivka in the Donetsk Oblast. Geolocated footage showed a rain of burning phosphorus fragments descending on the southwestern districts of the city. Ukrainian military officials and independent observers identified these as white phosphorus or similar incendiary compositions, noting that the strikes were often synchronized with ground offensives to flush defenders out of fortified urban positions.

The use of white phosphorus in the Ukrainian theater has been characterized by its role in "Battlefield Air Interdiction" campaigns designed to degrade defensive infrastructure and morale. Unlike the precise strikes seen with GPS-guided munitions, phosphorus deployments in Kostyantynivka and other frontline cities are inherently indiscriminate, covering several hectares

in a single salvo. This theater highlights the evolution of white phosphorus into a tool of "psychological and environmental attrition," where the goal is not merely to obscure movement but to render entire urban blocks uninhabitable through fire and toxic contamination. The persistence of these strikes into 2026 underscores the failure of existing international norms to prevent the recurrence of incendiary warfare in European urban centers.

5.3. Documentation of Civilian Harm and Environmental Degradation

The humanitarian impact of white phosphorus is unique in its severity and long-term consequences. Medical documentation from 2024 to 2026 reveals a pattern of horrific injuries that are difficult to treat even in advanced medical facilities. Because white phosphorus is fat-soluble, it can burn through skin and muscle to the bone, often resulting in multiple organ failure if even a small percentage of the body is contaminated. Survivors frequently suffer from lifelong disabilities, extensive scarring, and chronic respiratory issues due to the inhalation of phosphorus pentoxide smoke. The psychological trauma associated with these "chemical burns" is profound, as the substance continues to burn as long as it is exposed to oxygen, often reigniting during the debridement of wounds.

Beyond the immediate human toll, the environmental degradation caused by white phosphorus is extensive and often permanent. In southern Lebanon, the March 2026 strikes resulted in the destruction of over 40,000 olive trees, some of which were centuries old. The phosphorus residue penetrates the soil and water tables, posing a long-term risk to local agriculture—the very industry that Bayer-Monsanto's primary product, Roundup, is ostensibly designed to support. The irony of this situation is acute: the same chemical element used to enhance crop yields in the United States is being used to incinerate the agricultural heritage of conflict zones. This environmental destruction creates a "scorched earth" effect that prevents displaced civilians from returning to their land, effectively using the chemical properties of phosphorus to achieve permanent demographic and territorial shifts.

6. The "Smokescreen" Loophole: International Law and Protocol III

The deployment of white phosphorus in the 21st century is governed by a legal framework that many humanitarian experts consider a relic of an earlier era of warfare. This framework, primarily codified in the United Nations Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons, attempts to balance the military utility of incendiary materials against the need to protect civilian populations. However, the specific wording of these international agreements has created what is known as the smokescreen loophole. By categorizing white phosphorus as a multipurpose tool for obscuration and signaling rather than a dedicated weapon, the current international order provides a permissive environment for its use in urban combat. This section

analyzes the legal structures that allow for the continued deployment of and why these regulations have failed to adapt to the reality of modern, high-density urban conflict.

6.1. The Convention on Conventional Weapons (CCW) Framework

The primary international instrument regulating the use of white phosphorus is the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects, commonly abbreviated as the CCW. Specifically, Protocol III of the CCW deals with incendiary weapons. Adopted in 1980, the protocol was born out of the global outcry following the widespread use of napalm and other incendiary agents during the Vietnam War. Its fundamental purpose is to protect civilians and civilian objects from the horrific effects of fire-based weaponry.

Under Protocol III, it is strictly prohibited to make the civilian population, individual civilians, or civilian objects the object of attack by any incendiary weapon. Furthermore, it prohibits the use of air-delivered incendiary weapons against military objectives located within a concentration of civilians. While these protections appear robust on paper, the efficacy of the CCW is hampered by its definitions and its status as a consensus-based treaty. Many major military powers, including the United States, Russia, and Israel, are parties to the CCW but have interpreted its provisions through a lens of military necessity that often prioritizes tactical flexibility over humanitarian restraint. The framework establishes a standard of conduct, but as the conflict data from 2023 to 2026 demonstrates, the standard is frequently bypassed through technicalities in the treaty's own language.

6.2. Legal Definitions of "Incendiary" vs. "Illumination"

The core of the legal crisis surrounding white phosphorus lies in Article 1 of Protocol III, which defines an incendiary weapon as any weapon or munition which is primarily designed to set fire to objects or to cause burn injury to persons through the action of flame, heat, or a combination thereof. This definition contains a critical exclusionary clause. It states that incendiary weapons do not include munitions which may have incidental incendiary effects, such as illuminants, tracers, smoke, or signaling systems.

Because white phosphorus is highly effective at producing thick, white smoke for obscuring troop movements and providing intense light for night operations, it is classified by most militaries as a "multipurpose" or "smoke and marking" agent rather than an "incendiary" weapon. This semantic distinction is the cornerstone of corporate and state defense. When the U.S. Army fills shells at Pine Bluff with from Soda Springs, they are technically producing "smoke munitions." When those munitions are fired into a city, the military can claim that any fires or burn injuries caused are merely incidental effects of a smoke-screening operation. This allows forces to use a substance that burns through human flesh at 800°C while remaining in technical

compliance with international law. The legal focus on the "primary design" of the munition rather than its "actual effect" creates a permissive environment where the horrifying reality of the weapon is legally invisible.

6.3. The Failure of Current Regulatory Regimes to Address Dual-Use Munitions

The failure to close the smokescreen loophole is not an accident of drafting but a result of deliberate diplomatic maneuvering by major powers. Throughout the CCW review conferences of the last decade, and specifically in the emergency sessions of 2025 and 2026, several nations led by Ireland, Austria, and Mexico have repeatedly called for an amendment to Protocol III. These proposed changes would redefine incendiary weapons based on their "effects" rather than their "intended use," effectively bringing white phosphorus under the same strict regulations as napalm.

However, these efforts have been systematically blocked. The United States and other major producers of have argued that white phosphorus is an essential, "uniquely capable" material for which there is no effective non-incendiary substitute for rapid smoke-screening. This argument is bolstered by the industrial reality of companies like Bayer-Monsanto; because the supply chain is already optimized for production for agricultural use, transitioning the military to alternative, less hazardous screening agents would require a massive and costly industrial overhaul.

The current regulatory regime also fails to account for the "dual-use" nature of the chemical at the corporate level. International law typically targets the use of weapons by states, but it lacks robust mechanisms to hold the manufacturers of the raw chemical components accountable. As long as is legally categorized as a "marking agent" or an "agricultural precursor," companies can continue to supply the material without fear of international sanctions. The result is a stagnant legal landscape where 1980s-era definitions are used to justify 2020s-era atrocities, ensuring that the assembly lines in Idaho and Arkansas remain shielded from the reach of global humanitarian norms.

7. The 2026 Executive Order: National Security as a Legal Fortress

The early months of 2026 marked a fundamental shift in the relationship between the United States government and the Bayer-Monsanto supply chain. Facing a perfect storm of global phosphorus shortages, intensifying urban conflicts, and an existential wave of domestic litigation, the executive branch took unprecedented action to consolidate control over the nation’s chemical infrastructure. The resulting Executive Order did more than simply manage a resource; it transformed a private, liability-laden corporation into a protected instrument of the state. By invoking the full weight of Cold War-era emergency powers, the administration

effectively built a legal and operational fortress around the Soda Springs and Pine Bluff facilities, placing them beyond the reach of standard civil and environmental oversight in the name of sovereign survival.

7.1. Invocation of the Defense Production Act (DPA)

On February 18, 2026, the White House issued an order formally invoking the Defense Production Act of 1950 to address "critical vulnerabilities in the domestic chemical and agricultural supply chains." While the DPA has been used sporadically in the 21st century for medical supplies and green energy components, this specific invocation was notable for its dual focus. It explicitly linked the production of elemental phosphorus for munitions with the production of glyphosate for agriculture. The order authorized the Department of Defense to prioritize contracts with Bayer-Monsanto, ensuring that the government's requirements for would be met before any commercial or international orders.

The invocation was framed as a response to the "weaponization of global supply chains" by rival powers, yet its domestic implications were far more profound. By bringing the Soda Springs plant under the umbrella of the DPA, the government gained the authority to subsidize infrastructure upgrades, control the distribution of raw materials, and mandate production quotas. This move effectively nationalized the strategic direction of Bayer's phosphorus operations. For the company, this was not an unwelcome intrusion but a lifeline; being designated as a "DPA-essential" facility provided a powerful argument against any regulatory or judicial action that might threaten the plant's continuity of operations.

7.2. The Mechanics of the "Critical Material" Designation

The technical heart of the Executive Order was the classification of elemental phosphorus as a "scarce material critical to the national defense." Under the DPA, such a designation allows the President to restrict the use of the material in the civilian market to ensure military readiness. The mechanics of this designation involved a coordinated effort between the Department of Agriculture and the Department of Defense, creating a unified oversight body to manage the flow. This body was tasked with creating a "Strategic Phosphorus Reserve," similar to the Strategic Petroleum Reserve, to buffer against supply shocks in both the munitions and herbicide sectors.

This designation also allowed for the bypassing of standard environmental impact assessments for plant expansions. In the name of national security, the Caldwell Canyon mine expansion—essential for feeding the Soda Springs furnaces—was fast-tracked through the permitting process, overriding long-standing concerns regarding selenium contamination and local biodiversity. The logic was simple: if the material is critical to the defense of the nation, the procedural safeguards that usually apply to industrial mining are viewed as secondary to the

speed of extraction. This mechanical shift in how the government treats phosphorus production cemented the facility's status as a "sovereign zone" where national security priorities supersede local and environmental law.

7.3. Immediate Impacts on the Domestic Defense Infrastructure

The impact of the 2026 order on the domestic defense infrastructure was immediate and visible. At the Pine Bluff Arsenal, the assurance of a steady, government-prioritized supply of from Idaho allowed for the activation of new high-capacity filling lines. By the second quarter of 2026, the production rate of M825-series white phosphorus projectiles had increased by 40 percent. This surge was not merely intended for U.S. stockpiles but was explicitly designed to fulfill "Foreign Military Sales" to allies engaged in active conflicts, further entrenching the U.S. as the primary global provider of incendiary capabilities.

Furthermore, the Executive Order facilitated a deeper integration of private contractors into the management of the supply chain. Companies like Hanwha Defense USA, working within the Pine Bluff Arsenal, were granted "priority rating" for their equipment and labor needs, ensuring that the assembly of white phosphorus munitions faced no logistical hurdles. This restructuring created a streamlined, high-output "conveyor belt" of incendiary production that starts in the mines of Idaho and ends in the shipping ports of the Atlantic. The defense infrastructure was no longer just a series of government depots; it became a comprehensive, public-private engine designed to project power through the control of a single, volatile element, all while shielded by the administrative "fortress" established by the 2026 mandate.

8. The Roundup Nexus: Tying Civil Litigation to Defense Supply

The intersection of Bayer-Monsanto's agricultural legacy and its role in the national defense infrastructure reached a critical inflection point in early 2026. For years, the company has navigated a dual existence: as a provider of the world's most pervasive herbicide and as the primary source of elemental phosphorus for the American military. However, by 2026, the financial and legal pressure from tens of thousands of cancer-related lawsuits had created what the company's leadership described as an existential threat to its corporate survival. The 2026 Executive Order, which designated glyphosate and phosphorus as defense-critical materials, effectively fused these two identities. This move transformed a private legal crisis into a matter of national security, creating a "nexus" where the continuation of the military's incendiary stockpile became the primary justification for protecting the company from its civil liabilities.

8.1. The Crisis of Glyphosate Liability: 60,000 Lawsuits

By the start of 2026, Bayer-Monsanto was besieged by an unprecedented volume of litigation. Despite multiple attempts to settle or resolve the claims, the company faced over 60,000 active lawsuits from plaintiffs alleging that long-term exposure to Roundup had caused non-Hodgkin lymphoma and other forms of cancer. In February 2026, just days before the federal government's intervention, Bayer proposed a massive $7.25 billion national settlement fund. This proposal was designed as a "tiered" compensation program to resolve current and future claims without an admission of liability. However, the sheer scale of the litigation threatened to overwhelm the company's balance sheet, leading to public statements by CEO Bill Anderson that the company might be forced to cease all domestic glyphosate production if a "stable legal environment" was not established.

This legal crisis was not merely a corporate problem but a systemic risk to the American agricultural model. Because Bayer is the only domestic manufacturer of glyphosate, its potential bankruptcy or cessation of operations would leave American farmers entirely dependent on imported herbicides, primarily from China. This vulnerability was the stated rationale for the government's intervention. By framing the survival of the Roundup supply chain as a matter of "food security," the state provided a foundation for the subsequent use of emergency defense powers. This alignment of interests meant that the legal battle over glyphosate was no longer just about individual health claims; it was about the resilience of the national economy and the stability of the industrial-agricultural complex.

8.2. Section 707 of the DPA: Provisions for Corporate Immunity

The legal "teeth" of the 2026 Executive Order were found in its explicit invocation of Section 707 of the Defense Production Act (DPA). This provision, which dates back to the Cold War, offers a powerful form of legal protection for companies fulfilling government mandates. Specifically, Section 707 states that no person shall be held liable for damages or penalties for any act or failure to act resulting directly or indirectly from compliance with a rule, regulation, or order issued pursuant to the DPA. By designating Bayer-Monsanto's production as a prioritized defense activity, the government effectively conferred this immunity onto the company for its domestic operations.

The application of Section 707 in 2026 was uniquely broad. The order required Bayer to prioritize the production and allocation of elemental phosphorus and glyphosate to meet national requirements. Under this mandate, any disruption to production—including disruptions caused by the financial toll of litigation—could be viewed as a failure to comply with a national security directive. Legal analysts argue that this creates a "compliance hook," where Bayer can claim that its continued operation under federal order preempts state-level civil

claims. While Section 707 was traditionally used to protect companies from contract breach lawsuits during wartime, its 2026 application served as a sweeping shield against mass tort litigation, signaling a new era where national defense mandates are used to override domestic consumer protections.

8.3. Analysis of the "National Security Shield" as a Legal Defense Strategy

The emergence of the "National Security Shield" represents a sophisticated evolution in corporate legal strategy. In the wake of the 2026 Executive Order, Bayer's legal teams began arguing in federal and state courts that the company's role as a "critical defense infrastructure" provider makes it immune to certain types of civil liability. The core of this strategy is the doctrine of federal preemption: the idea that state-level laws or jury awards that threaten the viability of a defense-critical producer must be set aside to protect the higher interests of the nation. This approach effectively uses the military's need for white phosphorus as a "hostage" to ensure the survival of the company's agricultural business.

Critics of this strategy, including advocates from the "Make America Healthy Again" movement and various humanitarian organizations, have labeled this arrangement a "deal with the devil." They argue that by tying corporate liability to national defense, the government has created a moral hazard where the more "critical" a company becomes to the military, the less accountable it is to the public. This strategy also exploits a legal loophole where the "dual-use" nature of phosphorus is used as a smokescreen. By focusing on the phosphorus needed for incendiary bombs, the company gains the protection of the DPA, which then flows back to protect its herbicide business. This analysis suggests that the 2026 intervention was not just about securing a supply chain, but about codifying a system where warfare and national security are used as permanent exemptions from the rule of law in civil society.

9. Corporate Ethics and the Doctrine of Complicity

The convergence of agricultural production and military manufacturing within a single corporate entity raises profound ethical questions regarding the nature of corporate complicity in modern warfare. When a company like Bayer-Monsanto serves as the exclusive provider of a chemical that is simultaneously a vital agricultural input and a devastating incendiary agent, its moral obligations are no longer confined to the boardroom or the marketplace. The doctrine of complicity, once a theoretical concern of international legal scholars, has become a pressing reality for industrial giants whose supply chains terminate in active conflict zones. This section examines how the provision of raw materials for white phosphorus munitions fits into the evolving legal and ethical frameworks of global accountability, exploring the systemic risks

inherent in an industrial complex that profits from both the sustenance and the destruction of human life.

9.1. Defining Corporate Complicity under International Law

Under international humanitarian law and the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, the definition of corporate complicity has expanded beyond direct participation in hostilities to include the "aiding and abetting" of war crimes through the provision of essential resources. For a corporation to be held complicit, it must generally be shown that the entity provided substantial assistance to the commission of a crime with the knowledge that its actions would facilitate that crime. In the context of Bayer-Monsanto, the "substantial assistance" is the continuous supply of elemental phosphorus to the military infrastructure that fills and deploys incendiary munitions. While the company may argue that it does not control the tactical deployment of the weapons, the "knowledge" requirement is increasingly met by the widespread public documentation of white phosphorus use in civilian-populated areas by organizations like Human Rights Watch and Amnesty International.

The legal challenge lies in the dual-use nature of the material. International courts have traditionally been hesitant to prosecute suppliers of "neutral" commodities. However, the unique status of as a material with no other commercial source in the United States complicates this defense. Because Bayer-Monsanto is aware that a specific and significant percentage of its output is destined for the Pine Bluff Arsenal specifically for the assembly of M825-series projectiles, the "neutrality" of the transaction is undermined. Legal scholars increasingly argue that when a corporation maintains a monopoly on a substance known to be used in violations of international law, the threshold for complicity is lowered, shifting the burden onto the corporation to perform enhanced due diligence on its end-users, even when that end-user is a sovereign state.

9.2. Moral Hazard in the Defense-Industrial-Agricultural Complex

The "Defense-Industrial-Agricultural Complex" represents a structural moral hazard where the incentives for peace and public health are fundamentally misaligned with the requirements of corporate survival. In the case of Bayer-Monsanto, the company’s agricultural division is currently imperiled by billions of dollars in potential liabilities related to the carcinogenic effects of Roundup. Simultaneously, its defense-facing operations have become indispensable to the U.S. government’s military readiness. This creates a situation where the company’s "bad acts" in the civilian sector (the alleged suppression of health risks associated with glyphosate) are essentially balanced out by its "utility" to the state’s war machine.

This moral hazard is deepened by the fact that the same infrastructure—the Soda Springs furnaces and the Idaho mines—sustains both industries. When the state protects this

infrastructure under national security mandates, it inadvertently signals that the social and environmental costs of the company's products are secondary to their strategic value. This encourages a culture of impunity where corporate actors may feel less inclined to reform or abandon hazardous products like glyphosate if they know their role as a phosphorus supplier for the military makes them "too strategic to fail." The result is a feedback loop where the exigencies of warfare are used to justify the preservation of an industrial model that has been widely criticized for its negative impacts on global public health and biodiversity.

9.3. Shareholder Accountability vs. State Requirements

The tension between a corporation's fiduciary duty to its shareholders and the mandatory requirements of the state reached a boiling point in 2026. Shareholders of Bayer, particularly those concerned with Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria, have increasingly pressured the board to divest from its "lethal" chemical lines or to provide greater transparency regarding the end-use of its phosphorus production. However, these demands for ethical reform frequently collide with the heavy-handed requirements of the Defense Production Act. When the U.S. government issues a "rated order" for elemental phosphorus, Bayer-Monsanto is legally obligated to fulfill it, regardless of shareholder sentiment or the company's internal ethical guidelines.

This creates a paradox of accountability. Shareholders can hold a company responsible for its commercial failures, but they have little recourse when a company is acting as an involuntary agent of the state. In this environment, the "corporate personhood" of Bayer-Monsanto becomes a convenient mask for state action. The company can deflect ethical criticism by pointing to its legal obligations under the 2026 Executive Order, while the government can deflect humanitarian criticism by pointing to the "private" nature of the chemical's production. This diffusion of responsibility ensures that neither the corporate directors nor the state administrators are fully answerable for the consequences of white phosphorus deployment, leaving the victims of incendiary warfare in a legal and ethical vacuum.

10. Environmental and Public Health Impacts of Phosphorus Mining

The industrial extraction and processing of phosphorus create a profound ecological and physiological burden that extends far beyond the immediate perimeter of the production facilities. In the context of the Bayer-Monsanto operations, this footprint represents a permanent alteration of the geological and biological landscape. While the 2026 Executive Order emphasizes the strategic and agricultural necessity of , the environmental reality is characterized by the mobilization of toxic trace elements and the creation of "sacrifice zones" where long-term ecological health is traded for immediate industrial output. This section details

the specific environmental costs of the Idaho-Arkansas supply chain, examining the legacy of mining in the Phosphate Patch and the persistent chemical risks associated with the storage and handling of white phosphorus.

10.1. Ecological Footprint of the Soda Springs Facility

The physical impact of elemental phosphorus production begins with the removal of millions of tons of earth in Caribou County, Idaho. The scale of this operation is immense, spanning approximately 120 square miles of diverse mountain terrain. By 2026, the depletion of older mining sites like the Blackfoot Bridge mine necessitated the expansion into the Caldwell Canyon mine, a project secured through a contested Environmental Impact Statement and subsequent federal fast-tracking. This expansion involves the systematic excavation of Permian-era phosphate beds, a process that permanently fragments habitat for sensitive species such as the greater sage-grouse and various migratory elk herds. The industrial footprint is not limited to the pits themselves but includes the massive energy consumption of the Soda Springs furnaces, which require a consistent electrical load equivalent to that of a metropolitan center, further straining the regional power grid.

The industrial modernization efforts of early 2026, often managed through private contracting partnerships, have attempted to mitigate the visible footprint through advanced dust suppression and low-emission equipment. However, the fundamental nature of open-pit mining remains an exercise in landscape-scale transformation. The sheer volume of "waste shale" generated in the pursuit of high-purity ore creates artificial mountain ranges of overburden that must be managed for centuries. This ecological transformation is the unavoidable precursor to both the agricultural and military products discussed throughout this paper, establishing a baseline of environmental degradation that is increasingly shielded by national security designations.

10.2. Long-term Toxicity and Remediation Challenges

The most insidious environmental consequence of phosphorus mining is the leaching of selenium and other heavy metals into the regional watershed. Selenium is naturally occurring in the waste shale that surrounds phosphate ore, but mining activities expose this element to air and water, allowing it to oxidize and enter the Blackfoot River and its tributaries. This bioaccumulation has historically led to mass livestock deaths and severe deformities in avian populations, as the element moves through the food chain from water to vegetation to animals. Despite decades of remediation efforts and "Superfund" designations for older processing sites, the containment of selenium remains an unresolved engineering challenge. The "center waste shale" acts as a persistent source of contamination that requires complex, multi-century water treatment strategies that are often prioritized behind current production goals.

In Arkansas, the environmental challenges are centered on the handling of "phossy water"—the effluent generated during the filling of white phosphorus munitions at the Pine Bluff Arsenal. While modern closed-loop recycling systems have reduced direct discharges, historical leaks and spills have left a legacy of contamination in local water bodies such as Yellow Lake. Elemental phosphorus is highly stable in anoxic environments like lake sediments, where it can persist for years, posing a risk to aquatic life and waterfowl. The long-term toxicity of these sites is exacerbated by the presence of other defense-related contaminants, including PFAS, creating a complex cocktail of chemicals that complicates any future remediation. The 2026 shift toward prioritized production under the Defense Production Act has raised concerns that these long-term cleanup obligations will be sidelined in favor of meeting immediate military quotas.

10.3. Community Health Perspectives in Idaho and Arkansas

For the communities living in the shadow of the Soda Springs and Pine Bluff facilities, the industrial-defense complex is both a vital economic engine and a source of chronic health anxiety. In Southeast Idaho, the local population is largely dependent on the mining industry for high-wage employment, creating a "company town" dynamic where environmental criticism is often viewed as a threat to economic survival. However, the legacy of selenium poisoning in livestock serves as a persistent sentinel for potential human health impacts. Residents frequently express concerns over groundwater quality and the long-term effects of inhaling industrial particulates, yet the formal classification of the area by health agencies as having "no apparent public health hazard" often clashes with the lived experience of those in the immediate vicinity of the slag piles.

Similarly, in Pine Bluff, the arsenal represents a significant portion of the local economy, but the proximity to white phosphorus storage and assembly lines creates a unique set of risks. The memory of historical incidents, such as the 2005 warehouse fire that sent a massive plume of phosphorus pentoxide smoke over the region, remains a point of community concern. The concept of the "sacrifice zone" is often applied to these areas—regions where the environmental and health costs are accepted as a necessary sacrifice for the broader goals of national security and global food production. In the 2026 landscape, the "National Security Shield" serves to further isolate these communities from the standard avenues of legal and regulatory recourse, leaving them at the mercy of a corporate-military alliance that views their environment as a strategic asset rather than a shared home.

11. Reform Proposals: Closing the Accountability Gap

The institutionalization of the National Security Shield in 2026 has prompted an unprecedented legal and diplomatic counter-movement aimed at decoupling corporate liability from military

necessity. As the Bayer-Monsanto supply chain becomes a permanent fixture of the American defense architecture, critics argue that the existing regulatory vacuum—both domestic and international—must be filled with robust mechanisms of oversight. The following proposals represent a multifaceted approach to reform, targeting the chemical definitions that permit the use of white phosphorus in civilian areas, the legislative loopholes that protect manufacturers from civil torts, and the opacity of dual-use chemical logistics. These reforms are not merely about restricting a specific weapon but about re-establishing the principle that national security cannot be used as a blanket exemption for corporate harm.

11.1. Proposed Amendments to Protocol III of the CCW

The primary objective of international legal reform is the closure of the smokescreen loophole within Protocol III of the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons. As of early 2026, a coalition of non-aligned nations and human rights organizations has drafted a formal amendment to Article 1 that would redefine incendiary weapons based on their technical effects rather than their primary design. This "effects-based" standard would mean that any munition containing a pyrophoric substance like white phosphorus would be regulated as an incendiary weapon if it is capable of causing burn injuries or setting fire to objects, regardless of whether its stated purpose is illumination or obscuration.

This amendment would effectively ban the airburst deployment of M825-series projectiles over populated areas, stripping away the legal defense currently used by militaries in the Middle East and Eastern Europe. Furthermore, the proposal includes a mandate for the "technical tagging" of batches, requiring producers like Bayer-Monsanto to include chemical markers that allow for the tracing of white phosphorus fragments back to their point of origin. By making the supply chain forensic and the legal definitions objective, these amendments aim to transform Protocol III from a suggestive guideline into a binding prohibition that accounts for the reality of 2020s-era urban warfare.

11.2. Decoupling Corporate Liability from National Security Interests

On the domestic front, the 2026 legislative response has centered on the "No Immunity for Glyphosate Act," introduced by a bipartisan group of lawmakers in the wake of the February Executive Order. This bill seeks to explicitly clarify that Section 707 of the Defense Production Act does not extend to product liability or personal injury claims. The legislation argues that while the government can prioritize production and allocate resources, it cannot unilaterally strip citizens of their right to seek redress for health injuries caused by commercial products. The bill is designed to "decouple" the strategic value of the Soda Springs plant from the legal liabilities of the Roundup brand, ensuring that Bayer-Monsanto remains answerable for the long-term health impacts of its herbicides.

Parallel to this legislative effort is a series of judicial challenges aimed at testing the preemptive power of the 2026 Executive Order. Legal scholars have proposed a "Separability Doctrine" for dual-use facilities, which would require the courts to distinguish between acts taken strictly for defense (such as filling an artillery shell) and commercial acts (such as selling a herbicide to a private consumer). If successful, this doctrine would ensure that the National Security Shield only applies to the military application of , leaving the company's agricultural division subject to standard civil litigation. This reform is essential for preventing the normalization of "sovereign immunity" for private corporations that operate in the defense sector.

11.3. Transparency Mandates for Dual-Use Chemical Supply Chains

The third pillar of reform focuses on the radical transparency of the phosphorus supply chain. Current regulations allow the transfer of from Idaho to Arkansas to occur under a veil of commercial and military secrecy. A proposed "Dual-Use Transparency Act" would mandate the creation of a publicly accessible, real-time ledger for the domestic movement of elemental phosphorus. This system would require Bayer-Monsanto to disclose the volume of produced, the percentage allocated to agricultural vs. military use, and the final destination of every shipment. Such a mandate would allow independent monitors and local communities to track the "weaponization" of the supply chain in real time.

Furthermore, these transparency measures would include "End-Use Verification" requirements, similar to those found in the trade of nuclear materials. Under this system, any military entity receiving from a private domestic producer would be required to provide a certificate of compliance, ensuring that the material is not utilized in ways that violate Protocol III or other international norms. By placing the burden of proof on the state and the corporation, these mandates aim to dismantle the "don't ask, don't tell" culture of the defense-industrial-agricultural complex. Transparency is viewed not just as an administrative requirement but as a fundamental democratic check on the use of national security as a justification for corporate and military opacity.

12. Conclusion

The investigation into the Bayer-Monsanto supply chain reveals a profound and unsettling integration of global food systems and military power. By tracing the movement of elemental phosphorus from the mines of Idaho to the assembly lines of Arkansas, this paper has exposed the structural dependencies that define the modern American security state. The transition of phosphorus from a tool of agricultural productivity to a weapon of incendiary warfare is not merely a technical coincidence but a strategic pillar of a complex industrial ecosystem. As we conclude this analysis in 2026, it is evident that the "National Security Shield" erected around

this industry has created a precedent where the requirements of the battlefield can be used to override the legal protections of the domestic citizenry.

12.1. Summary of Findings

This paper has substantiated three primary findings. First, the Soda Springs facility in Idaho functions as a singular, irreplaceable bottleneck in the Western supply chain for both glyphosate and white phosphorus munitions. This monopoly grants Bayer-Monsanto a level of leverage over federal policy that is historically unprecedented for a private chemical company. Second, the 2026 invocation of the Defense Production Act served a dual purpose: while it ostensibly secured a supply of "critical materials" for the military, its practical application provided a massive legal shield for a corporation facing existential liability from over 60,000 cancer-related lawsuits. This maneuver successfully tied the survival of a controversial herbicide to the readiness of the U.S. military, effectively nationalizing the company’s legal defense.

Finally, the analysis of conflict zones in the Middle East and Eastern Europe between 2023 and 2026 demonstrates that the "smokescreen" loophole in international law remains a primary enabler of humanitarian atrocities. The use of M825-series projectiles, filled with phosphorus from the American supply chain, has resulted in documented civilian harm and long-term environmental degradation. These findings suggest that the current regulatory framework, which distinguishes between "smoke" and "incendiary" based on intended design rather than actual effect, is no longer fit for purpose in an era of high-intensity urban combat.

12.2. The Future of the Military-Industrial-Agricultural Complex

The events of 2026 signal the emergence of a more permanent and consolidated Military-Industrial-Agricultural Complex. Moving forward, we can expect the boundary between "strategic resources" and "commercial commodities" to continue to dissolve. The designation of agricultural precursors as national security assets likely represents the first of many such moves as global resource scarcity intensifies. This trajectory suggests a future where corporations providing essential chemicals, fertilizers, or seeds may increasingly seek "defense-critical" status to insulate themselves from the risks of civil litigation and environmental regulation.

Furthermore, the modernization of facilities like the Pine Bluff Arsenal through public-private partnerships with global defense contractors indicates a long-term commitment to maintaining a domestic incendiary capability. Unless significant diplomatic or legislative reforms are enacted, the "toxic artery" connecting Idaho and Arkansas will remain a permanent fixture of American industry. This ensures that the United States will continue to be the primary architect of a phosphorus-dependent world, where the same molecule that secures the harvest also facilitates the destruction of the city.

12.3. Final Ethical Reflections

The ethical core of this issue remains a paradox of modern civilization: the same element required for the sustenance of human life is being deployed for its agonizing destruction. This "dual-use" reality forces us to confront the depravity of a system that views the incinerated olive groves of Lebanon and the cancer wards of the American Midwest as acceptable collateral in the pursuit of national security. The 2026 National Security Shield does more than just protect a company’s bottom line; it codifies a moral hierarchy where the needs of the war machine are placed above the health of the individual and the integrity of the environment.

The ultimate reflection offered by this study is that true security cannot be built upon a foundation of chemical volatility and legal immunity. As long as our food systems and our weapons systems are chemically indistinguishable, we will remain trapped in a cycle of complicity. Breaking this cycle requires more than just a change in policy; it requires a fundamental re-evaluation of the values that govern our industrial-defense complex. We must decide whether we are a society that uses its scientific and industrial prowess to feed the world or one that uses the necessity of the harvest to justify the horrors of the flame.

References

Amnesty International. (2024). White Phosphorus Use in Southern Lebanon: Forensic and Legal Analysis. London: AI Publications.

Bayer AG. (2025). Statement on the Strategic Importance of the Soda Springs Phosphorus Facility. Leverkusen: Corporate Communications.

Center for Land Use Interpretation. (2024). P4 Production: The Soda Springs Elemental Phosphorus Plant. Los Angeles, CA.

Department of Justice. (2026). OLC Opinion: Preemptive Effect of Defense Production Act Orders on State Tort Law. Washington, DC: Office of Legal Counsel.

Exposed by CMD. (2026). Bayer Turns Over Every Stone to Stiff Roundup Cancer Victims. April 21, 2026.

Human Rights Watch. (2026). Lebanon: Israel Unlawfully Using White Phosphorus. March 9, 2026.

Human Rights Watch. (2026). Beyond the Smoke: The 2026 Incendiary Crisis and the Failure of Protocol III. New York: HRW Press.

Indiana International & Comparative Law Review. (2026). Beyond the Smoke: Phosphorus, Loopholes, and the CCW. 36(1).

Lohmann, J. (2026). The Phosphorus Paradox. Time Magazine. March 25, 2026.

Massie, T., & Pingree, C. (2026). No Immunity for Glyphosate Act (H.R. 825). 119th Congress.

STEPS Center. (2026). FAQ on February 18 White House Executive Order: Promoting the National Defense by Ensuring an Adequate Supply of Elemental Phosphorus and Glyphosate-Based Herbicides.

The White House. (2026). Executive Order: Promoting the National Defense by Ensuring an Adequate Supply of Elemental Phosphorus and Glyphosate-Based Herbicides. February 18, 2026.

Turner Mining Group. (2026). Turner Mining Group Expands in the Phosphate Patch; Awarded Long-Term Mine Services and Ore Haul Contract with Bayer. March 18, 2026.

United Nations. (1980). Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects (CCW), Protocol III on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Incendiary Weapons. Geneva: UN Treaty Series.

U.S. Army. (2026). Modernization Initiative at Pine Bluff Arsenal: Partnership with Hanwha Defense USA. Army.mil Press Release.

Washington Trade & Tariff Letter. (2026). President Wields Defense Production Act for Farmers. February 20, 2026.

West Point Lieber Institute. (2023). White Phosphorus and International Law: A 2023 Symposium. West Point, NY.

The author has published over 5,300 AI-assisted papers at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Douglas-Youvan/research . Google Scholar has indexed these preprints, and if you want a particular reference, the AI mode of a Google search (Gemini) has efficiently catalogued these preprints.